Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany. Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2057-2059

3,5-Diphenyl-2-(2-pyridylamino)-1-thia-6,6a λ^4 -diselenapentalene

DAVID G. BILLING, DEMETRIUS C. LEVENDIS, DAVID H. REID AND BEATE G. ROSE

Centre for Molecular Design, Department of Chemistry, University of Witwatersrand, Wits 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa

(Received 12 August 1994; accepted 24 January 1995)

Abstract

Crystals of the title compound, $C_{22}H_{16}N_2SSe_2$, contain two crystallographically independent molecules in the unit cell. The Se—Se and Se—S bonds are long [Se— Se 2.465 (2), 2.488 (2); Se—S 2.498 (3), 2.495 (3) Å] and the Se—Se—S bond angle is wide [173.6 (4), 174.09 (4)°]. All other bond angles in the triheteropentalene framework are intermediate between those of corresponding single and double bonds. The pyridine ring and the phenyl substituents are twisted out of the plane of the triheteropentalene unit.

Comment

The title compound, (1), was obtained by the cycloaddition of 2-pyridylisothiocyanate to 3-benzylidene-5-phenyl-3*H*-1,2-diselenole, (2), a member of a new class of heterocyclic compounds, according to a new synthesis of $1,6,6a\lambda^4$ -triheteropentalenes (Rose, 1991). The structure determination was carried out to determine whether the cycloaddition had produced (1) or the isomeric compound (3).



©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Crystals of (1) contain two independent molecules (A and B) in the unit cell. (1) shows the characteristic structural features of $1,6,6a\lambda^4$ -triheteropentalenes. The Se—Se bond lengths [2.465 (2) Å in molecule A, 2.488 (2) Å in B] are greater than the standard length of a two-centre two-electron Se—Se covalent bond (2.34 Å; Allen, Kennard *et al.*, 1987) by 5.3 (A) and 6.4% (B). Likewise, the Se—S bonds [2.498 (3) in A, 2.495 (3) Å in B] are longer than the two-centre two-electron Se—S covalent bond (2.193 Å; Allen, Kennard *et al.*, 1987) by 14 (A) and 13.8% (B). The Se—Se—S bond angle is wide [173.6 (4) in A, 174.09 (4)° in B], tending towards a collinear arrangement of the heteroatom sequence.



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965; Davenport, Hall & Dreissig, 1992) diagram of molecule A showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

The C(8)—Se(1) bond length [1.854 (5) in A, 1.861 (5) Å in B] and the C(6)—Se(2) bond length [1.879 (5) in A, 1.873 (5) Å in B] are intermediate between the length of a C—Se single bond (1.97 Å; Allen, Kennard *et al.*, 1987) and the estimated length of a C— Se bond in tetramethyl selenourea [1.863 (4) Å; Foss & Maartmann-Moe, 1987]. The C(4)—S bond length [1.681 (6) in A, 1.682 (6) Å in B] is almost the same as the C—S bond length in thioureas (1.68 Å; Allen, Kennard *et al.*, 1987). The Se—Se, Se—S, C—Se and C—S bond lengths and the Se—Se—S bond angle in (1) are very similar to the corresponding dimensions in the heterocycle (4) (Allen, Boeyens *et al.*, 1987). The pyridine ring and the phenyl substituents are twisted out of the plane of the triheteropentalene unit.

Experimental

The title compound was recrystallized from cyclohexane as red needle-shaped crystals, m.p. 482-483 K.

Crystal data

$C_{22}H_{16}N_2SSe_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 498.35$	$\lambda = 0.71067 \text{ Å}$

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995

C22H16N2SSe2

Triclinic	Cell parameters from 25	C(26A)	1.2084 (8)	0.5019 (6)	0.1726 (4)	0.067 (2)
PĪ	reflections	C(27A)	1.0859 (8)	0.4304 (5)	0.1626 (4)	0.058 (2)
a = 9.596(9) Å	$A = 0.17^{\circ}$	Se(1 <i>B</i>)	-0.0913 (1)	-0.0609 (1)	0.2891 (1)	0.059(1)
a = 0.360(0) A	b = 9 - 17	Se(2B)	0.1025 (1)	0.0785 (1)	0.2726 (1)	0.045 (1)
b = 15.286(11) A	$\mu = 3.761 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	S(3B)	0.2876 (2)	0.2246 (1)	0.2703 (1)	0.050(1)
c = 15.606 (8) Å	T = 295 (2) K	C(4B)	0.2171 (7)	0.2700 (4)	0.3589 (3)	0.041 (1)
$\alpha = 94.57 (4)^{\circ}$	Flat needle	C(5B)	0.0873 (7)	0.2215 (4)	0.3991 (3)	0.037 (1)
$a = 91.57 (4)^{\circ}$	$0.5 \times 0.2 \times 0.06$ mm	C(6B)	0.0226 (6)	0.1325 (4)	0.3690 (3)	0.034 (1)
p = 92.31(4)	$0.5 \times 0.2 \times 0.00$ mm	C(7B)	-0.1041 (6)	0.0818 (4)	0.4084 (3)	0.039(1)
$\gamma = 100.58 (4)^{\circ}$	Red	C(8B)	-0.1689 (7)	-0.0057 (4)	0.3846 (3)	0.037 (1)
$V = 2004 (3) \text{ Å}^3$		C(9B)	-0.2936 (7)	-0.0593 (4)	0.4300 (4)	0.038 (1)
7 - 4		C(10B)	-0.4012 (8)	-0.1308 (4)	0.3874 (4)	0.050 (2)
L = 4		C(11B)	-0.5164 (8)	-0.1800 (4)	0.4299 (5)	0.060 (2)
$D_x = 1.652 \text{ Mg m}^{-1}$		C(12B)	-0.5292 (8)	-0.1616 (5)	0.5154 (5)	0.062 (2)
		C(13B)	-0.4268 (8)	-0.0932 (5)	0.5598 (4)	0.056 (2)
Data collection		C(14B)	-0.3085 (7)	-0.0420 (4)	0.5184 (4)	0.042 (2)
		C(15B)	0.0219 (6)	0.2668 (4)	0.4747 (3)	0.037 (1)
Enral–Nonius CAD-4	3833 observed reflections	C(16B)	0.0407 (7)	0.2423 (4)	0.5557 (4)	0.048 (2)
diffractometer	$[F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)]$	C(17B)	-0.0233 (8)	0.2846 (5)	0.6247 (4)	0.066 (2)
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.05$	C(18B)	-0.1015 (8)	0.3515 (5)	0.6131 (5)	0.061 (2)
Absorption correction:	$A = 30^{\circ}$	C(19B)	-0.1177 (8)	0.3793 (5)	0.5328 (5)	0.064 (2)
	$v_{\text{max}} = 50$	C(20B)	-0.0590 (7)	0.3359 (4)	0.4624 (4)	0.053 (2)
ψ scan (North, Phillips	$h = -12 \rightarrow 12$	N(21 <i>B</i>)	0.2828 (6)	0.3547 (3)	0.3952 (3)	0.054 (1)
& Mathews, 1968)	$k = -21 \rightarrow 21$	C(22B)	0.4085 (7)	0.4167 (4)	0.3732 (4)	0.047 (2)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.70, T_{\rm max} = 1.00$	$l = -1 \rightarrow 15$	N(23B)	0.3973 (6)	0.5001 (4)	0.4021 (4)	0.059 (2)
11147 measured reflections	3 standard reflections	C(24B)	0.5169 (10)	0.5645 (5)	0.3882 (5)	0.078 (2)
0051 independent adaptions	frequencia (O min	C(25B)	0.6451 (9)	0.5530 (6)	0.3461 (6)	0.083 (2)
9951 independent renections	frequency: ou min	C(26B)	0.6596 (9)	0.4691 (6)	0.3185 (5)	0.083 (2)
	intensity decay: random	C(27B)	0.5407 (8)	0.3981 (5)	0.3334 (5)	0.070 (2)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.007$
R(F) = 0.055	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.813 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.1576$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.715 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 0.895	Extinction correction: none
9951 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
488 parameters	from International Tables
$w = 1/[s^2(F_o^2) + 0.0799P)^2]$	for Crystallography (199
where $P = [\max(F_o^2, 0)]$	Vol. C)
$+ 2F_{c}^{2}$]/3	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

from International Tables for Crystallography (1992,

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Z	U_{eq}
Se(1A)	0.4853 (1)	-0.0253 (1)	0.2077(1)	0.065 (1
Se(2A)	0.6717(1)	0.1191 (1)	0.2261 (1)	0.050 (1
S(3A)	0.8539 (2)	0.2668 (1)	0.2273(1)	0.048 (1
C(4A)	0.7570 (6)	0.2982 (4)	0.1427 (3)	0.038 (1
C(5A)	0.6144 (7)	0.2423 (4)	0.1061 (3)	0.039 (1
C(6A)	0.5587 (6)	0.1587 (4)	0.1359 (3)	0.034 (1
C(7A)	0.4228 (6)	0.1001 (4)	0.0982 (3)	0.037 (1
C(8A)	0.3714 (7)	0.0153 (4)	0.1206 (3)	0.035 (1
C(9A)	0.2337 (6)	-0.0474(3)	0.0798 (3)	0.036 (1
C(10A)	0.1409 (7)	-0.1108(4)	0.1258 (4)	0.049 (2
C(11A)	0.0128 (8)	-0.1663 (4)	0.0873 (5)	0.057 (2
C(12A)	-0.0255 (8)	-0.1657 (5)	0.0022 (5)	0.060 (2
C(13A)	0.0604 (7)	-0.1074 (5)	-0.0459 (4)	0.054 (2
C(14A)	0.1893 (7)	-0.0483(4)	-0.0068(4)	0.044 (2
C(15A)	0.5245 (6)	0.2726 (4)	0.0337 (3)	0.036 (1
C(16A)	0.5193 (7)	0.2353 (4)	-0.0488 (3)	0.043 (2
C(17A)	0.4361 (7)	0.2653 (5)	-0.1137 (4)	0.056 (2
C(18A)	0.3630 (7)	0.3357 (5)	-0.0975 (4)	0.058 (2
C(19A)	0.3670 (8)	0.3760 (5)	-0.0163 (4)	0.059 (2
C(20A)	0.4454 (7)	0.3442 (4)	0.0503 (4)	0.046 (2
N(21A)	0.8086 (6)	0.3764 (3)	0.1068 (3)	0.047 (1
C(22A)	0.9429 (7)	0.4426 (4)	0.1246 (4)	0.046 (2
N(23A)	0.9228 (7)	0.5200 (4)	0.0970 (4)	0.060 (2
C(24A)	1.0458 (10)	0.5859 (5)	0.1086 (5)	0.072 (2
C(25A)	1.1878 (9)	0.5815 (5)	0.1473 (5)	0.068 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Se(1A) - C(8A)	1.854 (5)	Se(1B)—C(8B)	1.861 (5)
Se(1A)— $Se(2A)$	2.465 (2)	Se(1B)— $Se(2B)$	2.488 (2)
Se(2A) - C(6A)	1.879 (5)	Se(2B)—C(6B)	1.873 (5)
Se(2A) - S(3A)	2.498 (3)	Se(2B)—S(3B)	2.495 (3)
S(3A) - C(4A)	1.681 (6)	S(3B) - C(4B)	1.682 (6)
C(4A) - C(5A)	1.427 (8)	C(4B)C(5B)	1.417 (8)
C(5A)— $C(6A)$	1.402 (8)	C(5B)— $C(6B)$	1.408 (8)
C(6A) - C(7A)	1.410 (7)	C(6B) - C(7B)	1.409 (8)
C(7A)—C(8A)	1.369 (8)	C(7B)—C(8B)	1.366 (8)
C(8A)—Se(1A)—Se(2A)	90.6 (2)	C(8B)—Se(1B)—Se(2B)	90.5 (2)
C(6A)— $Se(2A)$ — $Se(1A)$	89.2 (2)	C(6B)-Se(2B)-Se(1B)	88.8 (2)
C(6A)— $Se(2A)$ — $S(3A)$	85.2 (2)	C(6B)— $Se(2B)$ — $S(3B)$	85.4 (2)
Se(1A)— $Se(2A)$ — $S(3A)$	173.60 (4)	Se(1B)— $Se(2B)$ — $S(3B)$	174.09 (4)
C(4A)— $S(3A)$ — $Se(2A)$	93.9 (2)	C(4B)— $S(3B)$ — $Se(2B)$	93.4 (2)
C(5A)— $C(4A)$ — $S(3A)$	119.6 (4)	C(5B)— $C(4B)$ — $S(3B)$	120.5 (5)
C(6A) - C(5A) - C(4A)	121.2 (5)	C(6B)— $C(5B)$ — $C(4B)$	120.5 (5)
C(5A) - C(6A) - C(7A)	122.5 (5)	C(7B) - C(6B) - C(5B)	122.0 (5)
C(5A)— $C(6A)$ — $Se(2A)$	119.9 (4)	C(8B)— $C(7B)$ — $C(6B)$	125.1 (5)
C(7A)— $C(6A)$ — $Se(2A)$	117.5 (4)	C(7B)— $C(8B)$ — $Se(1B)$	117.7 (4)
C(8A) - C(7A) - C(6A)	124.4 (5)		
C(7A)— $C(8A)$ — $Se(1A)$	118.2 (4)		
Se(1A)C(8	A)-C(9A)-C((10A) 32.8 (7))
C(4A)C(5A	4)C(15A)C	(16A) 108.3 (6))
C(5A)—C(4A	4)—N(21A)—C	(22A) - 177.2 (6))
C(4A)—N(2)	IA)C(22A)4	C(27A) 25 (1)	
Se(1B)—C(8	(B) - C(9B) - C(9B)	(10B) -29.0(7))
C(4B)C(5L	B)C(15B)C	(16B) - 110.1 (6))
C(5B)C(4L	B)N(21B)C	(22B) 178.7 (6))
C(4B)N(2)	1 <i>B</i>)—C(22 <i>B</i>)—4	C(27B) - 30(1)	

Data collection and reduction were carried out using standard Enraf-Nonius routines. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985) and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and allowed to refine, riding on the atoms to which they are attached and with a common isotropic displacement parameter. The labelled structure diagrams were produced using ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) as modified by Davenport, Hall & Dreissig (1992).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HA1131). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Allen, C., Boeyens, J. C. A., Briggs, A. G., Denner, L., Markwell, A. J., Reid, D. H. & Rose, B. G. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 967–968.
- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–S19.
- Davenport, G., Hall, S. R. & Dreissig, W. (1992). ORTEP. Xtal3.2 Reference Manual. Edited by S. R. Hall, H. D. Flack & J. M. Stewart. Univs. of Western Australia, Australia, Geneva, Switzerland, and Maryland, USA.
- Foss, O. & Maartmann-Moe, K. (1987). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 41, 121–129.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Rose, B. G. (1991). PhD thesis, Univ. of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2059-2062

A Structure with Through-Space Cyclopropyl π -Interaction

BARRY A. LLOYD*

Department of Chemistry, Weber State University, Ogden, Utah 84408, USA

ATTA M. ARIF, ROBERT J. COOTS AND EVAN L. ALLREDT

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA

(Received 29 March 1994; accepted 8 March 1995)

Abstract

The crystal structure determination of *endo*,*endo*-pentacyclo[7.2.1.1^{3,7}.0^{2,8}.0^{4,6}]tridec-10-en-*anti*-12-yl *p*bromobenzoate, $C_{20}H_{19}BrO_2$ (1-OPBB), is reported. The molecule consists of norbornene with an *anti-p*bromobenzoate substituent at the methano bridge, and with an *endo*-norbornyl unit *endo*-fused to the ethano bridge. The norbornyl unit also has an *exo*-cyclopropyl ring on the two-C-atom bridge opposite the ring fusion. Interbridge steric interactions are discussed, and some comparisons are made with other norbornenyl derivatives.

Comment

A variety of compounds having cyclopropyl π -orbital interactions have been studied theoretically and spectroscopically (Hoffmann, Hauel & Landmann, 1983; Martin & Mayer, 1983; Elsässer, Hassenrück, Martin, Mayer, Lutz & Prinzbach, 1991). The cyclopropyl π -interaction in hydrocarbon (1-H) is substantial (Prinzbach, Sedelmeier & Martin, 1977). The magnitude of the interaction is very dependent on the orbital geometries and is linked to reactivity in anchimerically assisted solvolysis reactions (March, 1992).



Solvolysis reactions have been followed via ¹H NMR spectroscopy for a few norbornenyl 3,5-dinitrobenzoate structures at 383 K in 80% dioxane-D₈/20% $D_2O(v/v)$ (Llovd, 1985). Under these conditions, esters (1-ODNB), (2-ODNB), (4-ODNB) and (5-ODNB) undergo solvolysis reactions 480, 28, 160 and 30 times faster, respectively, than the already enormously reactive anti-7-norbornenyl ester (3-ODNB). The rate enhancement of (1-ODNB) over (3-ODNB) is likely due (at least in part) to ' π '-electron donation from a cyclopropyl Walsh HOMO [see electron arrows for (1-ODNB) abovel to the reaction center (C11 LUMO) via relay through the C9=C10 π bond (HOMO). The ribbon topologies require that the through-space interactions should destabilize the reactant and stabilize the transition state leading to the carbocation intermediate for (1-ODNB) relative to (3-ODNB) (Inagaki, Fujimoto

[†] Deceased, 8th July 1991.